

REDUCING COMMUNITY IMPACTS ACHIEVING WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT

PART 1: KEY ACTIONS

A. Public Health Protection (Top Priority)

Refer to “*Key Actions for Public Health Protection and Environmental Mitigation*”

Additional Ambient Monitoring in Communities

Green Equipment for Infrastructure-related Construction and Maintenance (e.g., new engines, cleaner fuels, or use of PM traps where feasible)

Stop New Pollution

BACT and Offsets

No Net Increase List

Cleanest Feasible Technology

Greater Efficiency at the Ports

B. Better Land Use

Consideration of Buffers Zones Where Appropriate

Green Area Corridors

Encouragement for Local Application of ARB Land Use Guidelines

Strategic Land Preservation

Integrated Port and City Planning

C. Community Amenities

Brownfield to Park Negotiations

Do Not Increase Noise and Light Levels

Reduce Traffic, Noise, Light and Glare

Parks, Waterfront Access, Community Centers

Seaside Village and Wetlands Marina

Fisheries Habitat

Water Clean-up Projects

Community Centers

Traffic Rerouting

Tree Lines for aesthetics and greater noise abatement

710 Freeway: Tier 2 Advisory Committee Recommendations

Low-Interest Loans on Grants to Do Home Improvements

Address EJ Issues

Address truck traffic flow at Union Pacific Yard, Mira Loma

Remove rusting containers from Wilmington

D. Workforce Development

Education and Employment

Use Community Labor in Goods Movement Industry

Provide Training within Affected Communities

E. Implementation Mechanisms and Process Changes/Enhancements

Community Benefit Agreements

Community Discussion Groups

Design-Build Authorization

Public-Private Partnerships

CEQA Checklist

Programmatic Assessment

Benefit/Cost Analysis

Vigorous CEQA Process

Proposition 65 Warnings for Diesel-Power Equipment

Analyze Trucks and Rail as a System

Use Same Mitigation Criteria for New Infrastructure and Expansions

Accountability, Credibility and Consequences

Use Local Knowledge in Developing Local Solutions (e.g., Technology)

Project-by-Project Basis

Hierarchical Planning

F. Other Recommendations

Provide Public Transportation to Reduce Demand for Additional Infrastructure

**REDUCING COMMUNITY IMPACTS
ACHIEVING WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT**

**PART 2:
CRITERIA FOR SELECTING HIGHEST PRIORITIES**

Community Preferences

Demonstrable Community Buy-in

“Like for Like” Mitigation

Number of residents served and/or benefiting from mitigation action

Feasibility

Availability of funding to implement

Potential for multiple benefits (e.g., noise reduction and pollution exposure reduction)

Extent of mitigation provided (partial or full)

Educational value for workforce development

Potential for creating jobs in local community

Clear accountability for follow-through

**REDUCING COMMUNITY IMPACTS
ACHIEVING WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT**

**PART 3:
METRICS FOR EVALUATING PROGRESS**

Project defined with sufficient specificity to proceed

Responsible agency/entity identified

Funding committed

Project initiated

Project completed

Number of persons newly employed in goods movement industry

Number of persons trained to enter goods movement industry

Number and type of mitigation actions accomplished by milestone years (e.g., 2010, 2015, 2020)